

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,065	07/30/2003	Donald VanderSluis	VTE-141-B	8198
7:	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Andrew R. Basile Young & Basile, P.C.			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A	
Suite 624	o, 1 .C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3001 West Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48084			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annilostica No	A			
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/630,065	VANDERSLUIS, DONALD			
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extens	S COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index of this communication. Inde	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL .	· · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance v	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-25 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim 5)□ Claim(s) is/are and and is/are and and is/are and are are another and are another and are ar	s) is/are withdravallowed. allowed. and 44 is/are rejected. 5,27-34 and 43 is/are ob	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing sh	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the eet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) □ objected to by the identification drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obsaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a) All b) Some * c) 1: Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce application from	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)			V			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2004. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Paper No(s) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 12/27/2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 44 refers to the method of claim 21; however, claim 21 is directed to an apparatus. Appropriate correction is required. To advance prosecution, the Examiner assumes claim 44 to be dependent upon claim 35, which claims a method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 15-22, 35-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffmann et al. (US 6236190)

Hoffmann discloses a device and method for driving a capacitive actuator; the device comprising a piezoelectric (smart material) actuator (P); a power source (V) connected to the actuator and a switch circuit (See figures 1-2 and its description) for discharging the actuator in response to the removal of the connection to the power source.

The term "actively" is a relative term that has been given little patentable weight.

With regards to claim 16, Hoffmann discloses a switch circuit for charging the actuator (Figures 1 and 2).

With regards to claims 17 and 18, Hoffmann discloses a switch circuit for charging and discharging the actuator in response to the control signal. See columns 4 and 5.

With regards to claims 19 and 21, Hoffmann discloses a voltage comparator (K).

With regards to claim 20 and 22, Hoffmann discloses the different stages of the charging and discharging process of the piezoelectric actuator in the description of the preferred embodiment (Cols. 4 and 5).

With regards to claims 35-42 and 44, directed to the method of charging and discharging a capacitor actuator, Hoffman anticipates the invention as disclosed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-14, 23-25, 27-34 and 43 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 - The references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest either alone or in obvious combination the limitations as set forth in claim 1, and specifically comprising the limitation of a control signal providing a selectable input voltage wherein an output voltage of the DC to DC converter is applied to the smart material actuator and wherein the output voltage is proportional to the input voltage.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,065

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAA 6/8/2005

> DARBEN SCHÜBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

